

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCYTED STATES REGION 7, 11201 RENNER BOULEVARD, LENEXA, KANSASO 662164 PROTECTION AGENCY-REGION 7

2016 APR 25 AM 11: 12

DOCKET NO. CWA-07-2016-0023

On: September 29, 2015

At: 2520 Stockyards Expressway, St. Joseph, Missouri

Owned or operated by, St. Joe Petroleum Company (Respondent), an authorized representative of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention (SPCC) regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1321(j)) (the Act), and found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the attached SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURES INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS, AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM (Form), which is hereby incorporated by reference.

This proceeding and the Expedited Settlement are under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, $33 U.S.C. \S 1321(b)(6)(B)(i)$, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 C.F.R. $\S 22.13(b)$. The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1,775.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

The EPA finds that Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations, which are published at 40 C.F.R. Part 112, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Form. Respondent admits that he/she is subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 112 and that the EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections it may have to the EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above.

Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and **Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of** \$1,775, payable to the "Environmental Protection Agency," via certified mail to:

> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

and Respondent has noted on the penalty payment check Docket No. CWA-07-2016-0023 and "OSLTF – 311." The original, signed Settlement Agreement and copy of the penalty payment check must be sent via certified mail to:

> Christine Hoard U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7, AWMD/STOP 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, Kansas 66219

This Expedited Settlement resolves Respondent's liability for Federal civil penalties for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form. However, the EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations. By its first signature, the EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to the EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to the EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon the Regional Judicial Officer's signature.

APPROVED BY EPA: Date 7 Chief, Storage Tanks & Oil Pollution Branch (STOP) Air & Waste Management Division (AWMD)

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:
Name (print): FONALD EBACHMAN
Title (print): PRESIDENT
Signature: Juli 2 Bh
Date:

The estimated cost for correcting the violation(s) is:

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Bromeo Date 4-25-2016 Karina Borromeo

Regional Judicial Officer



EXPERIENCE SECOND LUBIANT VOID LANEN. L'ALPER STATISSENT TONNEME SE PRO DECTION ALL'MATTATES : D'OTRAS E LIZIU ACCASELINO LEVALUL L'AMERIA. L'AMERICA DE SECO

a state 29 thtone

DOUGT NO. CAVA PLUG AND

magerity of seals to provide the provided of the state

The statistical age sufficient and her in [here system marks [201]]

All the second s

to provide the second sec

First a sequence website or open the first with a transference of the first is filtered and on the equencies. It is filtered website of objects process in a second first filtered and the first second of the first is second of the first second of the first second of the first is second of the first second of the first second of the first is second of the first second of the first second of the transference.

ילול", קבועות לכילוסע ביצ האו מדיכו עד לא איזיים איזיים איזיים. היידע בגל ביילא ראי קיער אין הבא איזיים א יער איני

A REAL PARTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PR

¹ Mingle D. Millson, 2017 Millson, 2017 March 1997.

______ A.S. ____

2010 Co. 5 25 20 C

Commentation 11 + 25-200

den de la companya d

Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 7 under the authority vested in the Administrator of the EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Company Name	Docket Number	
St. Joe Petroleum Company	CWA-07-2016-0023	*
Facility Name	Date	
St. Joe Petroleum Company	09/29/2015	SK.
Address	Facility ID Number	
2520 Stockyards Expressway	Docket Number CWA-07-2016-0023 Date 09/29/2015 Facility ID Number	
City	Inspector's Name	
St. Joseph	Paul Doherty	
State Zip Code	EPA Approving Official	
MO 64501	Scott Hayes	
Contact	Enforcement Contact	
Ron Bachman	Christine Hoard	
No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure F Plan not certified by a professional engineer/12.3(a	 International and a state of the state of th	
Plan not certified by a professional engineer/12.5(<i>a)</i> (\$450)	
Certification lacks one or more required elements/	122(4)(1)(0)(0)	
	12.5(a)(1) (\$100)	\$100
Plan not maintained on site (if manned at least four	r hrs/day) or not available for review 12.3(e)(1) (\$300)	\$100
Plan not maintained on site (if manned at least four No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/	r hrs/day) or not available for review 12.3(e)(1) (\$300)	\$100
	r hrs/day) or not available for review <i>12.3(e)(1)</i> (\$300) /operator <i>12.5(b)</i> (\$75) nge in: design, construction, operation,	\$100 \$75
No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/ No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a char	r hrs/day) or not available for review 12.3(e)(1) (\$300) /operator 12.5(b) (\$75) nge in: design, construction, operation, charge potential/12.5(a) (\$75)	
No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/ No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a chan or maintenance which affects the facility's disc	r hrs/day) or not available for review 12.3(e)(1) (\$300) /operator 12.5(b) (\$75) nge in: design, construction, operation, charge potential/12.5(a) (\$75)	\$75
No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/ No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a char or maintenance which affects the facility's disc Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engin	r hrs/day) or not available for review 12.3(e)(1) (\$300) Voperator 12.5(b) (\$75) nge in: design, construction, operation, charge potential/12.5(a) (\$75) neer/12.5(c) (\$150)	\$75

1

1

1

	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements 12.7(a)(2) (\$200)	
\checkmark	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram $112.7(a)(3)$ (\$75)	\$75
~	Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers 12.7(a)(3)(i) (\$50)	\$50
	Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures/12.7(a)(3)(ii) (\$50)	
	Inadequate or no description of drainage controls/12.7(a)(3)(iii) (\$50)	
	Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup 112.7(a)(3(iv) (\$50)	
	Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements $12.7(a)(3)(v)$ (\$50)	
1	No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges 12.7(a)(3)(vi) (\$50)	\$50
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge $12.7(a)(4)$ (\$100)	
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may $occut 12.7(a)(5)$ (\$150)	
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges 12.7(b) (\$150)	
	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary stuctures/ equipment 112.7 (\$400)	
	If claiming impracticability of contiainment and appropriate diversionary structures: Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan $12.7(d)$ (\$100)	
	No contingency plan 112.7(d)(1) (\$150)	
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials $12.7(d)(2)$ (\$150)	
	No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed/12.7(d) (\$150)	
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified 12.7(j) (\$75)	

QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6

- Qualified Facility: No Self certification112.6(a) (\$450)
- Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements/12.6(a) (\$100)
- Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified/12.6(b) (\$150)
- Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements/12.6(c) (\$100)
- Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PB12.6(d) (\$350)

WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)

- Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112/12.7(e) (\$75)
- Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility *112.7(e)* (\$75)

- No Inspection records were available for review112.7(e) (\$200)

 (Written procedures and/or a record of inspections and/or customary business records)
- Inspection records are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector 12.7(e) (\$75)
- Inspection records are not maintained for three years/12.7(e) (\$75)

PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)

- No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and/or facility operations 112.7(f)(1) (\$75)
- No training on discharge procedure protocols/12.7(f)(1) (\$75)
- No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules and regulations, and/or SPCC plan 12.7(9)(1) (\$75)

\$75

\$450

- Training records not maintained for three years *12.7(f)(1)* (\$75)
- No designated person accountable for spill prevention/12.7(f)(2) (\$75)
- Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least annually 12.7(f)(3) (\$75)
- Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)

SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)

Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production <i>112.7(g)(1)</i> (\$150)
Master flow and drain values that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in a closed when in a non-operating or standby status $12.7(g)(2)$ (\$300)
Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status 12.7(g)(3) (\$75)
Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status 112.7(g)(4) (\$75)
Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism 112.7(g)(5) (\$150)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security <i>112.7(a)(1)</i> (\$75)
FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)
Inadequate containment for Loading Area [not consistent with 112.7(c)]/12.7(c) (\$400)
Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin treatment system, or quick drainage system/12.7(h)(1) (\$750)

Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck 112.7(h)(1) (\$450)

	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake (\$300) interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines $12.7(h)(2)$
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck-112.7(h)(3) (\$150)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)
	QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)
	Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge $112.7(k)(2)(i)$ (\$150)
	Failure to provide an oil spill contingency $plan l 12.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)$ (\$150)
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials $12.7(k)(2)(ii)(B)$ (\$150)
	FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.8(b) & (c)
	Secondary Containment circumvented due to containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejectors not manually activated to prevent a discharge/12.8(b)(1)and(2), and 112.8(c)(3)(i) (\$650)
	Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervision <i>112.8(c)(3)(ii)and(iii)</i> (\$450)
	Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained 12.8(c)(3)(iv) (\$75)
7	Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds or lagoons, or no diversion system to retain or return a discharge to the facility <i>112.8(b)(3)and(4)</i> (\$450) \$450
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment $unit 12.8(b)(5)$ (\$50)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage/12.7(a)(1) (\$75)
a	BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)
	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 112.7(i) (\$75)
	Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture 12.7(i) (\$300)
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature $112.8(c)(1)$ (\$450)
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate 12.8(c)(2) (\$750)
	Containment systems, including walls and floors, are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil 12.8(c)(2) (\$375)
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity (\$150)
	Walls of containment system slightly eroded or have low areas (\$300)
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing <i>112.8(c)(4)</i> (\$150)

		Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion $12.8(c)(5)$ (\$150)		
		Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections/12.8(c)(6) (\$450)		
		Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc. 112.8(c)(6) (\$450)		
		Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports, foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas 12.8(c)(6) (\$75)		
		Steam return/exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer or other separation system/12.8(c)(7) (\$150)		
		Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because none of the following are present <i>112.8(c)(8)</i> (\$450)		
		No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation $12.8(c)(8)(v)$ (\$75)		
		Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills 112.8(c)(9) (\$150)		
		Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected 12.8(c)(10) (\$450)		
	7	Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching navigable water <i>112.8(c)(11)</i> (\$150)	\$150	
		Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks 12.8(c)(11) (\$500)		
		Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage $tanks 12.7(a)(1)$ (\$75)		
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)				
		Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating or cathodic protection protection protection <i>112.8(d)(1)</i> (\$150)		
		Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found $12.8(d)(1)$ (\$450)		
		Not-in-service or standby piping is not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to $\operatorname{origin} 12.8(d)(2)$ (\$75)		
		Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction 112.8(d)(3) (\$75)		
		Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly 12.8(d)(4) (\$300)		
		Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted 12.8(d)(4) (\$150)		
		Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations 12.8(d)(5) (\$150)		
		Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process 12.7(a)(1) (\$75)		
	7	Plan does not include a signed copy of the Certification of the Applicability of the Substantial Harm Criteria per 40 CFR Part 112.20(e) (\$150) (Do not use this if FRP subject; go to traditional enforcement)	\$150	

t

-



- a state was a state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the state of the state of

- and the second of the property of the second s
- - - and the second se
 - - The second state of the se
 - A state of the sta
 - a "not contact "Mercury as to "rights" if and in 25% of the internal section if
 - A second seco
- and the second second

IN THE MATTER Of St. Joe Petroleum Company, Respondent Docket No. CWA-07-2016-0023

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy emailed to Attorney for Complainant:

hoard.christine@epa.gov

Copy by First Class Mail to Respondent:

Ronald E. Bachman, President St. Joe Petroleum Company 2520 Stockyards Expressway St. Joseph, Missouri 64501

Dated:

Potinor

Kathy Robinson Hearing Clerk, Region 7

(b) (ii) M.S.P. (Lin Scand di statici as Comunity Ecoporti en Bonica de C.W. et al. 2016-2019.

THE REPORT OF A DATA OF

.

(area by their a lifeture if an even or the constraint of the second s

Calls and a set of the set of the

1.20 million in the second state

2015년 - 1917년 - 1917년 - 1917년 - 1917년 - 1917년 - 1917년 1917년

> April - Apping Arabits automorphic - Apping 2) - Assuction - Apping 1) - Assuction - Apping 1) - Assuction - Apping

> > · Meedle

Territoria de Conservation